

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,175	02/07/2002	Frank J. Chu	PT-035	1300	
75	90 03/17/2006		EXAM	INER	
JOHN W. OLIVO , JR.			J00, J0	JOO, JOSHUA	
WARD & OLIVO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
382 SPRINGFIELD AVENUE SUMMIT, NJ 07901			2154		
				DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/072,175	CHU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joshua Joo	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja	anuary 2006.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	t(s)					
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/02.		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/072,175 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

### Response to Amendment filed 1/3/2006

1. Claims 1, 3-5 are presented for examination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over of Baxley et al, US Publication #2004/0085913 (Baxley hereinafter), in view Kung et al, US Patent #6,671,262 (Kung hereinafter).
- 4. As per claim 1, Baxley teaches substantially the invention as claimed including a method for audio conferencing between clients of a circuit switched network and clients of a packet switched network. Baxley's teachings comprise of:

receiving a first audio packet, wherein said first audio packet is a mixture of packets received from each of the second plurality of clients who have been designated as an active speaker by said circuit-switched conferencing server (Paragraph 0050. Audio input is received from GSTN endpoints.);

receiving, by said packet-switched conferencing server, a plurality of audio packets, wherein said plurality of audio packets comprises a second audio packet from each of the first plurality of clients who have been designated as an active speaker by said packet-switched conferencing server (Paragraph 0050. Audio input is received from packet-based endpoints.);

forwarding said second audio packets to said second plurality of clients (Paragraph 0051; 0052. Output stream is transmitted to the GSTN endpoints.)

mixing said first audio packet with said second audio packets from the first plurality of clients into a composite packet (Paragraph 0050; 0054. Audio inputs are mixed. Sum stream represents the mixed input of all selected inputs.); and

forwarding said composite packet to each of the first plurality of clients connected to said packet-switched conferencing server (Paragraph 0052. Sum stream is directed to the packet-based endpoints.);

whereby the first and second plurality of clients, using varying equipment and protocols, can simultaneously participate in a single audio conference application (Fig. 1; GSTN endpoints are based on packet-based network, packet-based endpoints are based on packet-based network.).

- 5. Baxley teaches substantial features of the claimed invention including a single server, containing a plurality of MCUs, which serves as both a packet-switch conferencing server and a circuit-switched conferencing server. However, Baxley does not teach of a separate packet-switch conferencing server and a circuit-switched conferencing server, thereby establishing by a packet-switched conferencing server, a connection to a circuit-switched conferencing server; designating said connection as an active speaker on said packet-switched conferencing server; and forwarding, over said connection, said second audio packet to said circuit-switched conferencing server.
- 6. Kung teaches of audio conferencing between users of IP based networks and PSTN based networks (Col 3, lines 26-33), where a plurality of conferencing servers are implemented for communication between users (Col 31, lines 29-30). A first conference server connects and

transmits the voice packets of the users of the first conference server to the second conference server (Col 31, lines 42-50).

- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Baxley and Kung because both teachings are similar in that they deal with audio conferencing between users of a circuit switch network and a packet switch network. Furthermore, the teachings of Kung to provide a plurality of conference servers and transmits audio packets from one conference server to another conference server would improve the teachings of Baxley by allowing a greater number of users to participate in audio conferencing by distributing the server load to the plurality of servers. Using a single conference server may limit the number of participating users as the single server may become more easily overloaded.
- 8. As per claims 3 and 5, Baxley teaches substantially the invention as claimed including a method and a computer readable storage medium for audio conferencing between clients of a circuit switched network and clients of a packet switched network. Baxley's teachings comprise of:

receiving a first audio packet, wherein said first audio packet is a mixture of packets received from each of the second plurality of clients who have been designated as an active speaker by the said packet-switched conferencing server (Paragraph 0050. Audio input is received from packet-based endpoints.);

receiving, by said circuit-switched conferencing server, a plurality of audio packets, wherein said plurality of audio packets comprises a second audio packet from each of the first plurality of clients who have been designated as an active speaker by said circuit-switched conferencing server (Paragraph 0050. Audio input is received from GSTN endpoints.);

mixing said first audio packet and said second audio packet into one combined audio packet (Paragraph 0050; 0054. Audio inputs are mixed. Sum stream represents the mixed input of all selected inputs.);

forwarding said one combined audio packet to each of the first plurality of clients connected to said circuit-switched conferencing server (Paragraph 0052. Sum stream is directed to the GSTN endpoints.); and

forwarding said second audio packet to said second plurality of clients (Paragraph 0052.

Output stream is transmitted to the packet-based endpoints.);

whereby the first and second plurality of clients, using varying equipment and protocols, can simultaneously participate in a single audio conference application (Fig. 1; GSTN endpoints are based on packet-based network, packet-based endpoints are based on packet-based network.).

- 9. Baxley teaches substantial features of the claimed invention including a single server, containing a plurality of MCUs, which serves as both a packet-switch conferencing server and a circuit-switched conferencing server. However, Baxley does not teach of a separate packet-switch conferencing server and a circuit-switched conferencing server, thereby establishing by a packet-switched conferencing server, a connection to a circuit-switched conferencing server; designating said connection as an active speaker on said packet-switched conferencing server; and forwarding, over said connection, said second audio packet to said circuit-switched conferencing server.
- 10. Kung teaches of audio conferencing between users of IP based networks and PSTN based networks (Col 3, lines 26-33), where a plurality of conferencing servers are implemented for communication between users (Col 31, lines 29-30). A first conference server transmits the

voice packets of the users of the first conference server to the second conference server (Col 31, lines 42-50).

- 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Baxley and Kung because both teachings are similar in that they deal with audio conferencing between users of a circuit switch network and a packet switch network. Furthermore, the teachings of Kung to provide a plurality of conference servers and transmits audio packets from one conference server to another conference server would improve the teachings of Baxley by allowing a greater number of users to participate in audio conferencing by distributing the server load to the plurality of servers. Using a single conference server may limit the number of participating users as the single server may become more easily overloaded.
- 12. As per claim 4, Baxley teaches substantially the invention as claimed including a computer readable storage medium for audio conferencing between clients of a circuit switched network and clients of a packet switched network. Baxley's teachings comprise of:

computer readable program code means for causing the computer to receive, a first audio packet, wherein said first audio packet is a mixture of packets received from each of the second plurality of clients who have been designated as an active speaker by said circuit-switched conferencing server (Paragraph 0050. Audio input is received from GSTN endpoints.);

computer readable program code means for causing the computer to forward said first audio packet to each of the first plurality of clients connected to said packet-switched conferencing server (Paragraph 0051; 0052. Output stream is transmitted to the packet-based endpoints.);

computer readable program code means for causing the computer to receive, by said packet-switched conferencing server, a plurality of audio packets, wherein said plurality of audio packets comprises a second audio packet from each of the first plurality of clients who have been designated as an active speaker by said packet-switched conferencing server (Paragraph 0050. Audio input is received from packet-based endpoints.); and

computer readable program code means for causing the computer to forward said second audio packet to said second plurality of clients (Paragraph 0051; 0052. Output stream is transmitted to the GSTN endpoints.);

whereby the first and second plurality of clients, using varying equipment and protocols, can simultaneously participate in a single audio conference application (Fig. 1; GSTN endpoints are based on packet-based network, packet-based endpoints are based on packet-based network.).

- 13. Baxley teaches substantial features of the claimed invention including a single server, containing a plurality of MCUs, which serves as both a packet-switch conferencing server and a circuit-switched conferencing server. However, Baxley does not teach of a separate packet-switch conferencing server and a circuit-switched conferencing server, thereby establishing by a packet-switched conferencing server, a connection to a circuit-switched conferencing server; designating said connection as an active speaker on said packet-switched conferencing server; and forwarding, over said connection, said second audio packet to said circuit-switched conferencing server.
- 14. Kung teaches of audio conferencing between users of IP based networks and PSTN based networks (Col 3, lines 26-33), where a plurality of conferencing servers are implemented for communication between users (Col 31, lines 29-30). A first conference server transmits the

voice packets of the users of the first conference server to the second conference server (Col 31, lines 42-50).

15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Baxley and Kung because both teachings are similar in that they deal with audio conferencing between users of a circuit switch network and a packet switch network. Furthermore, the teachings of Kung to provide a plurality of conference servers and transmits audio packets from one conference server to another conference server would improve the teachings of Baxley by allowing a greater number of users to participate in audio conferencing by distributing the load to the plurality of servers. Using a single conference server may limit the number of participating users as a single server may be overloaded.

## Response to Arguments

- 16. Applicant's arguments filed 1/3/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 17. Applicant argued that (1) The combination of Baxley and Kung fail to teach each and every claim limitation; (2) the prior art references relied upon by the Examiner fail to provide any teaching, suggestion, or motivation for the combination asserted by the Examiner in rejecting the pending claims; (3) the obviousness rejection could only be the result of hindsight view with the benefit of Applicant's specification; and (4) the present invention represents a vast improvement over the prior art and is not taught or disclosed anywhere in the prior art.

Examiner traverse the arguments:

- 18. As to point (1), Applicant's argument fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because the argument does not specifically point out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.
- 19. As to point (2), in response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

In this case, both references are similar in that they provide communication between IP based networks and PSTN networks (Baxley, Abstract; Kung, Col 3, lines 25-37), and the teachings of Kung to provide a plurality of conference servers and transmits audio packets from one conference server to another conference server would improve the system of Baxley by allowing a greater number of users to participate in audio conferencing, which is found in column 31, lines 22-27 of Kung, and distributing the load of a server to a plurality of servers, which is found in column 31, lines 36-39 of Kung.

20. As to point (3), in response to applicant's argument that the Examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge

gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

In this case, both references are similar in that they provide communication between IP based networks and PSTN networks (Baxley, Abstract; Kung, Col 3, lines 25-37), and the teachings of Kung to provide a plurality of conference servers and transmits audio packets from one conference server to another conference server would improve the system of Baxley by allowing a greater number of users to participate in audio conferencing, which is found in column 31, lines 22-27 of Kung, and distributing the load of a server to a plurality of servers, which is found in column 31, lines 36-39 of Kung.

21. As to point (4), Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made.

#### Conclusion

22. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/072,175

Art Unit: 2154

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

Page 11

of this final action.

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Joshua Joo whose telephone number is 571 272-3966. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7 to 4.

24. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on 571 272-3964. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent 25.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 10, 2006 JJ